

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,303	07/20/2002	Eugeniusz Rylewski	154.1050	1177	
21171	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT			3753	7	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4/	\mathcal{M}			
	Application No.	A	pplicant(s))			
	10/088,303	R	RYLEWSKI, EUGENIUSZ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ai	rt Unit				
	Allen J. Flanigan		743				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely f am of thirty (30) days will (6) MONTHS from the r ecome ABANDONED (3	iled be considered timely, mailing date of this col 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fina	l.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration	on.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement	t.					
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriirer.						
	nninnitu under 25 III	100 5 440(a) (d	1) (6)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	phonty under 35 U	.5.C. § 119(a)-(0	,) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- L						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.:	2(a)).	i this National S	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			o a provisional	application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	has been receive	ed.	.,,			
Attachment(s)	z phoney and or oo	2.2.2. 33 120 un					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PT otice of Informal Pater her:					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,303

Art Unit: 3743

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The species of Figs. 1-5, the species of Figs. 6 & 7, the species of Figs. 10 & 11, the species of Figs. 12-15, the species of Figs. 16 & 17, the species of Figs. 18 & 19, the species of Figs. 20 & 21, the species of Fig. 22, the species of Figs. 23-25B, and the species of Figs. 26-28B.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,303

Art Unit: 3743

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2-3 read on the species of Figs. 1-5, claims 4-6 read at least on the species of Figs. 18 and 19, claim 7 on the species of Figs. 23-25B. Claims 8-14 are in improper format and will not be grouped until presented in proper US format¹.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species involve different technical features, and they lack corresponding special technical features related to a single general inventive concept because claim 1, the generic claim, is not novel as shown, for example, by US patent 4,540,042 to Zelek. "Special technical features" are those features which define the contribution the invention makes relative to the prior art; where the common features are not patentable, i.e. do not define over the prior art, they cannot be considered "special technical features" which link distinct inventions to a single general inventive concept².

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone

¹ Under 37 CFR 1.75(c), "a multiple dependent claim cannot serve as the basis for any other multiple dependent claim". See MPEP § 608.01(n).

² See MPEP 1849, section dealing with "THE REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION", sec.

[&]quot;A. Independent and Dependent Claims".

Application/Control Number: 10/088,303

Art Unit: 3743

Page 4

number is (703) 308-1015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

AJF